

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,195	03/04/2002	Jurgen Hinzpeter	H01.2-10407	4727	
490	7590 03/15/2004		EXAM	INER	
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. 6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE			JOERGER,	JOERGER, KAITLIN S	
SUITE 2000	CIRCLE DIGVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETON	KA, MN 55343-9185		3653		

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/090,195	HINZPETER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,
10	Kaitlin S Joerger	3653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may bly within the statutory minimum of a will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ie. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 I			
==/ /· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.	allere areassition as to the morits	, ic
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			5 15
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 c		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	a) accepted or b)	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	:1(d). :.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the rejects duct must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings do not show how the singling out apparatus of figure 1 fits in the overall structure of a rotary tablet-compressing press, and therefore the examiner does not have a complete understanding of the applicant's invention.

Specification

Art Unit: 3653

4 .

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, line 28, the disclosure refers to "the dotted line 42 in figures 2A through 2C..." However in figures 2A through 2C the dotted line is labeled with number 32.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant claims an apparatus for singling out tablets, however there is not a clear written description of how the tablets are routed to the singling out apparatus or where the reject duct is or how the singling out apparatus determines whether a particular tablet is defective and should be singled out. It is not clear how the applicant's invention fits into or is connected with a rotary tablet-compressing press. If the feature mentioned above and the overall structure is well known in the art perhaps it would be useful to incorporate an example of the prior art by reference into the application.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3653

11

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the rotor" in lines 1 through 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the control signals" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the orifice" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the sensor signal" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the control signal" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the evaluation circuit" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the time relation" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In addition to the lack of antecedent basis outlined above claim 1 mentions a "control signal" or "control signals" several times throughout the paragraph. It seems to the examiner that there is more than one control signal that do different things, and therefore as the claim is

now written it is difficult to distinguish between the different control signals. These features should be more clearly claimed.

Conclusion

The examiner has not applied art to this case, but this should not be taken as an indication that the case contains allowable subject matter. The examiner is waiting until the rejections under 35 USC 112 first paragraph have been addressed by the applicant to apply art to the claims. The applicant's IDS was not considered for the same reason stated above and further for the reason that there was no English abstract included with the two foreign references sent in the IDS. IF the applicant wishes the examiner to consider the references he must include English abstracts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaitlin S Joerger whose telephone number is 703-305-8503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 703-306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3653

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2 March 2004

DOMALD TYMSLSH) EUFERVISORY PATE OF EMALISCE TECHNOLUSY (1969) 2000